



CANCER PREVENTION &
RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF TEXAS

**REQUEST FOR
APPLICATIONS**

RFA R-11-MIRA-1

Multi-Investigator Research Awards

2010–2011

Fiscal Year Award Period
September 1, 2010 — August 31, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1. | ABOUT CPRIT | 5 |
| 2. | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 5 |
| 3. | MECHANISM OF SUPPORT | 6 |
| 4. | RESEARCH OBJECTIVES..... | 7 |
| 5. | CHARACTERISTICS OF MULTI-INVESTIGATOR RESEARCH AWARDS..... | 8 |
| | 5.1. Synergy..... | 8 |
| | 5.2. Leadership..... | 8 |
| | 5.2.1. Principal Investigator (PI) | 8 |
| | 5.2.2. Co-Principal Investigator (Co-PI) | 8 |
| | 5.3. Research Projects..... | 9 |
| | 5.4. Core Resources | 9 |
| | 5.5. Selection of Research Projects and Core Resources..... | 10 |
| | 5.6. Commitment of Time and Effort..... | 10 |
| 6. | FUNDING INFORMATION | 11 |
| 7. | RESPONDING TO THIS RFA | 12 |
| | 7.1. New Applications | 12 |
| | 7.2. Resubmissions..... | 12 |
| 8. | RESUBMISSION..... | 12 |
| 9. | KEY DATES | 13 |
| 10. | ELIGIBILITY..... | 13 |
| 11. | APPLICATION REVIEW | 14 |
| | 11.1. Outline | 14 |
| | 11.2. Preapplication Review | 14 |
| | 11.3. Application Review | 15 |
| | 11.4. Review of Research Projects..... | 15 |
| | 11.4.1. Primary Review Criteria..... | 16 |
| | 11.4.2. Secondary Review Criteria | 17 |
| | 11.5. Review of Core Resources..... | 17 |
| | 11.5.1. Core Resources..... | 17 |
| | 11.5.2. Administrative Core..... | 17 |
| 12. | SUBMISSION GUIDELINES | 18 |
| | 12.1. Online Application Receipt System..... | 18 |
| | 12.2. Submission..... | 18 |
| 13. | PREAPPLICATION..... | 18 |
| | 13.1. Submission | 18 |
| | 13.2. Submission Deadline..... | 18 |
| | 13.3. Components..... | 18 |
| 14. | LETTER OF INTENT..... | 19 |
| | 14.1. Submission | 19 |
| | 14.2. Submission Deadline..... | 19 |
| 15. | APPLICATION | 19 |
| | 15.1. Submission | 19 |
| | 15.2. Submission Deadline..... | 19 |
| | 15.3. Abstract and Significance..... | 19 |
| | 15.4. Laypersons' Summary | 20 |
| | 15.5. Specific Aims and Timeline | 20 |

| | | |
|--------|---|----|
| 15.6. | Overview of Research Program | 20 |
| 15.7. | Resubmission | 20 |
| 15.8. | Publications/References | 20 |
| 15.9. | Budget and Justification..... | 21 |
| 15.10. | Components: Research Projects and Core Resources | 21 |
| 16. | RESEARCH PROJECTS..... | 21 |
| 16.1. | Abstract..... | 21 |
| 16.2. | Specific Aims and Timeline | 21 |
| 16.3. | Research Plan..... | 21 |
| 16.4. | Resubmission | 22 |
| 16.5. | Synergy Illustration | 22 |
| 17. | CORE RESOURCES..... | 22 |
| 17.1. | Abstract..... | 22 |
| 17.2. | Specific Aims and Timeline | 22 |
| 17.3. | Resource Plan..... | 22 |
| 17.4. | Resubmission | 23 |
| 17.5. | Synergy Illustration | 23 |
| 18. | ADMINSTRATIVE CORE..... | 23 |
| 18.1. | Administrative Plan..... | 23 |
| 18.2. | Synergy Illustration | 23 |
| 19. | PUBLICATIONS/REFERENCES..... | 23 |
| 20. | BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION | 23 |
| 21. | BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES | 24 |
| 22. | CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT | 25 |
| 23. | INSTITUTIONAL/COLLABORATOR SUPPORT AND/OR OTHER CERTIFICATION | 25 |
| 24. | AWARD ADMINISTRATION | 25 |
| 25. | REQUIREMENT TO DEMONSTRATE AVAILABLE FUNDS | 26 |
| 26. | CONTACT INFORMATION | 26 |
| 26.1. | HelpDesk | 26 |
| 26.2. | Scientific and Programmatic Questions..... | 26 |

RFA VERSION HISTORY

- Rev 7/26/10 RFA release
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Section 3, Mechanism of Support
- See *Note to Applicants*
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Section 5.2.1, Principal Investigator (PI)
- PI must direct the Administrative Core
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Section 5.4, Core Resources
- PI must direct the Administrative Core
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Section 5.5, Selection of Research Projects and Core Resources
- Projects/cores should also be significant within the context of the application
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Section 5.6, Commitment of Time and Effort
- Changes to guidelines regarding percent effort for the PI and Co-PI
 - Guidance regarding program size/scope and commitment of time and effort
 - Exceptions to investigators participating on only one application
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Section 10, Eligibility
- Exceptions to investigators being listed on only one application
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Section 11.2, Preapplication Review
- Indicating deviations from guidance regarding:
 - commitment of time and effort, and/or
 - investigators participating on only one application
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Section 13.3, (Preapplication) Components
- PI must direct the Administrative Core
 - Added tabular summary of percent effort: required
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Section 14.1, (Letter of Intent) Submission
- Indicating deviations from guidance regarding:
 - commitment of time and effort, and/or
 - investigators participating on only one application
- Rev 8/9/10 Revised Sections 15.5, 16.2, and 17.2, Specific Aims and Timeline
- Applicants advised not to include proprietary information in these sections
- Rev 8/9/10 Added Section 23, Institutional/Collaborator Support and/or Other Certification
- Section is optional
- Rev 8/27/10 Revised Section 5.6, Commitment of Time and Effort
- PI commitment of 15 percent effort includes effort on Administrative Core
- Rev 8/27/10 Revised Section 18, Administrative Core
- Section 22 required for the Administrative Core
- Rev 8/27/10 Revised Section 22, Current and Pending Support
- Required for personnel listed on the Administrative Core

1. ABOUT CPRIT

The State of Texas has established the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT); CPRIT may issue \$3 billion in general obligation bonds over 10 years to fund grants for cancer research and prevention.

CPRIT is charged by the Texas Legislature to:

- Create and expedite innovative work in the area of cancer research, thereby enhancing the potential for a medical or scientific breakthrough in the prevention of cancer and cures for cancer;
- Attract, create, or expand research capabilities of public or private institutions of higher education and other public or private entities that will promote a substantial increase in cancer research and in the creation of high-quality new jobs in the State of Texas; and
- Continue to develop and implement the Texas Cancer Plan by promoting the development and coordination of effective and efficient statewide public and private policies, programs, and services related to cancer and by encouraging cooperative, comprehensive, and complementary planning among the public, private, and volunteer sectors involved in cancer prevention, detection, treatment, and research.

2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CPRIT will foster cancer research in Texas by providing financial support for a wide variety of projects relevant to cancer research. This Request for Applications (RFA) solicits applications for integrated programs of collaborative and cross-disciplinary research among multiple investigators and will focus on critical research areas that will contribute meaningfully to advancing knowledge of the causes, prevention, and/or treatment of cancer. CPRIT encourages applicants who seek to apply or develop state-of-the-art technologies, tools, and/or resources for cancer research, including those projects with potential commercialization opportunities. CPRIT expects outcomes of supported activities to directly and indirectly benefit subsequent cancer research efforts, cancer public health policy, or the continuum of cancer care—from prevention to treatment and cure. To fulfill this vision, applications may address any research topic or issue related to cancer biology, causation, prevention, detection or screening, treatment, or cure.

3. MECHANISM OF SUPPORT

The Multi-Investigator Research Awards are intended to support the creation of integrated programs of collaborative and cross-disciplinary research among multiple investigators. The equivalent of program projects, centers, NCI SPOREs, shared instrumentation, core laboratories, clinical trials, or other types of collaborative interaction is appropriate. Teams will focus on critical areas of cancer research, especially those that have been inadequately addressed by research up to this point, or for which there may be an absence of an established paradigm or technical framework. Laboratory research, translational studies, and clinical and epidemiological investigations may be supported. Awards are expected to promote a cooperative environment that fosters intensive interaction among members in all aspects of the research program. This approach is expected to transform the research process through the integration of basic and/or clinical disciplines, leading to the aggressive translation of scientific discoveries (including the development of databases and tissue banks) into tools and applications that have the potential to significantly impact cancer incidence, detection, treatment, and/or mortality.

While all investigators need not be trained specifically in cancer research, this award is intended to initiate sustainable, collaborative programs of cancer research that cannot be effectively addressed by an individual researcher or a group of researchers within the same discipline. It is aimed at research programs that, by their complexity and interdisciplinary nature, require a cross-disciplinary team approach to achieve significant progress and sustainability, thereby creating a culture for teaching and research that transcends traditional disciplinary boundaries. Clinical research or a clinical trial (Phase I, I/II, or II) may be included as part of the proposed program.

Investigators are expected to work together to develop the research plan, determine the management structure, and prepare the application. It should be clear that all investigators have a substantial level of intellectual input into the proposed program. Collectively, the members of the teams should represent the appropriate diversity of expertise necessary for addressing the research question. Effort is expected to be appropriately balanced among the investigators and their respective teams.

Applicants must present a clear plan for how they would manage and facilitate meaningful collaboration among the separate research teams to enable successful completion of the

proposed research. Participating institutions must be willing to resolve potential intellectual and material property issues/conflicts and remove institutional barriers to achieving high levels of cooperation.

NOTE TO APPLICANTS:

Changes in this RFA have been prompted by the following observations: As a new source of funding for cancer research in Texas, CPRIT offers an attractive opportunity for investigators to test new ideas, explore new areas, and/or implement new approaches. These types of applicant responses are desired and encouraged. However, CPRIT staff and external scientific review committees have noted a significant amount of “scatter shooting”, i.e., some investigators proposing to lead or participate in several new activities, thereby making it difficult to discern where an investigator’s interests truly lie. In addition, some investigators have submitted very ambitious applications requesting large sums of money, with minimal evidence of commitment to the project in terms of percent effort. This RFA attempts to curb these practices while still avoiding excessively rigid rules that might stifle innovation; therefore, applications with deviations from guidelines stated in the RFA will be examined closely. Evidence of lack of commitment or excessive fragmentation will be a significant negative factor in funding decisions.

4. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Because Multi-Investigator Research Awards, by definition, support collaborative research projects, this award mechanism will accommodate applications that encompass a wide variety of activities and administrative structures. Applicants may propose collaborative programs that are modest in size or those that are larger and more complex. *CPRIT encourages cancer investigators from Texas to bring their best ideas forward for consideration. Creative, collaborative projects that address critical questions should leverage cancer research taking place in Texas into a leadership position from both national and international perspectives. Federal programs should not be duplicated; rather, when possible, their impact in the State of Texas should be enhanced.*

5. CHARACTERISTICS OF MULTI-INVESTIGATOR RESEARCH AWARDS

5.1. Synergy

Successful Multi-Investigator Research Award recipient programs are characterized by an exceptionally synergistic theme. Applications in response to this RFA must bring together a strong group of research projects and necessary core resources that contribute to a common goal in cancer research as a single coherent entity. Synergy between projects and cores to support the overall objective of the proposed program—especially how they relate to the focus areas—and the multidisciplinary focus of each project and core are essential aspects of the award mechanism.

It is envisioned that these research programs, once established, will interact extensively with each other and, if possible, with newly formed or established companies interested in bringing specific, Texas-based, cancer discoveries to the market for the benefit of patients with cancer everywhere. To the extent possible, plans for such interactions should be developed and described. Applicants should consider requesting funds during the first year for videoconferencing equipment to enable multipoint interactions with other programs and with the CPRIT Scientific Review Office.

5.2. Leadership

5.2.1. Principal Investigator (PI)

The overall research program will be directed and overseen by a PI. The PI is responsible for developing and managing an integrated and collaborative research environment that permits uninterrupted progress of the research projects regardless of distinct geographic locations of collaborators within the State. The PI must direct the required Administrative Core (see Section 5.4).

5.2.2. Co-Principal Investigator (Co-PI)

Each research project and core resource within the overall research program must be directed by a single individual designated as a Co-PI on the application. The Co-PI will be responsible for the research activities of his or her research project(s) and/or core resource(s) within the framework and goals of the overall research program. The PI may also direct a research project and/or core resource.

5.3. Research Projects

Research projects (also referred to as “projects” in this RFA) will challenge existing paradigms; develop or employ novel concepts, approaches, methodologies, tools, or technologies for the proposed cancer research area; or address important under- or unexplored areas. CPRIT seeks to support original and innovative projects. The thrust of the Multi-Investigator Research Awards mechanism is to support research projects that lead to truly substantial advances in the field rather than add modest increments of insight. Projects that modestly extend current lines of research will not be considered for this award. Each project must be poised individually to make significant contributions to the field of cancer research as well as be complementary to the overall research program. Application of a singular approach to multiple forms of cancer does not justify a request for multiple research projects.

The guidelines for research projects are:

- Minimum: Three projects
- Maximum: Five projects
- Each research project must be directed by the PI or by a Co-PI. There is no restriction on the number of projects that the PI or a Co-PI can direct within one Multi-Investigator Research Award application.

5.4. Core Resources

Supporting core resources (also referred to as “cores” in this RFA) constitute integral components of multi-investigator research programs by providing the necessary expertise and/or infrastructure essential to the completion of the individual research projects. Examples of core resources include, but are not limited to: Administrative Core, tissue/specimen core, sequencing/bioinformatics core, histopathology core, imaging core, etc. All applications submitted in response to this RFA must include an Administrative Core that comprehensively coordinates all activities proposed within the objectives of the projects and cores.

The guidelines for core resources are:

- Minimum: Administrative Core
- Maximum: Three technical cores
- A maximum of four cores are permitted, i.e., the Administrative Core and three technical cores.

-
- Each core must be directed by the PI or by a Co-PI. There is no restriction on the number of cores that the PI or a Co-PI can direct within one Multi-Investigator Research Award application. The Administrative Core must be directed by the PI.
 - Cores should include clear descriptions of the projects they are designed to support.
 - Projects and cores are subject to different review criteria (see Sections 11.4 and 11.5). Research projects must **not** be submitted as cores in an attempt to circumvent the limitation on the number of research projects that may be submitted as part of a single Multi-Investigator Research Award application.

5.5. Selection of Research Projects and Core Resources

The PI is expected not only to coordinate and develop the overall research program but also to *limit the number of projects and cores* to only those that are considered to be highly meritorious and significant within the context of the entire application. The collaborative impact, merit, and feasibility of all the projects—not the cores—will determine whether an application for a Multi-Investigator Research Award receives support. Investigators are strongly discouraged from including weaker projects in an effort to obtain a higher level of funding. Rather, inclusion of fewer, highly focused projects is strongly recommended.

5.6. Commitment of Time and Effort

Investigators are expected to commit *significant percent effort* to research projects and cores. As a strong guideline, the PI should commit 15 percent effort to the overall research program; this includes effort on the required Administrative Core that must be directed by the PI. A project/core lead, i.e., the PI or a Co-PI, should commit 10 percent effort for each project and/or core that he or she directs. As examples, the PI of a multi-investigator research program who is leading only the Administrative Core—but not any project/core on the application—should commit 15 percent effort. Similarly, the PI of a multi-investigator research program who is also leading one project on the application should commit 25 percent effort. A Co-PI who is leading a project and a core should commit 20 percent effort. CPRIT requires that the percent effort of the PI and/or Co-PI(s) remains the same in every year of support requested unless there is a corresponding change in the budget and level of activity of the project/core directed by the PI or the Co-PI in question.

CPRIT recognizes that multi-investigator programs will vary significantly in size and scope; thus, a single guideline for commitment of time and effort is not appropriate for all applications. Applications should exhibit a reasonable correlation between time commitment and funds requested unless there are special circumstances that must be explained. In addition, it should be clear from the other support information provided that the investigator will be able to achieve the required percent effort, and what activities may have to be contract or curtailed to achieve the desired percent effort required for the application submitted.

CPRIT is concerned that many investigators appear frequently as part of several different research programs; therefore, commitment to a given project is not evident. CPRIT believes that this leads to weaker, less competitive applications. Therefore, CPRIT urges investigators to be named on only one Multi-Investigator Research Award application in a given funding cycle, regardless of his/her role. However, CPRIT recognizes that specific individuals directing and/or participating in core resources, for example, biostatistics, bioinformatics, or histopathology cores, may be involved in multiple research studies. A common set of tools may be applied in more than one situation, leading to economies of scale (but not duplications of budgets). Thus, exceptions to investigators being listed on only one application may be made if prior permission is requested and granted. Such permission must be sought as part of the preapplication process or as part of a letter of intent, where applicable (see Sections 11.2, 13.3, and 14.1). Compelling justification explaining the need for such exceptions and assuring commitment (usually in the form of percent effort) must be provided. Reductions in percent effort will usually not be approved after an application is funded unless there have been major changes in scope and, therefore, in budget.

6. FUNDING INFORMATION

This funding mechanism is intended to accommodate a wide variety of applications and organizational structures. The maximum period of performance is 5 years; renewal applications will be accepted. No limit has been set on the maximum amount that may be requested if the amount is well justified. Funds may be used for salary and fringe benefits, research supplies, equipment, clinical costs, and travel to scientific/technical meetings or collaborating institutions. Requests for funds to support construction and/or renovation will not be approved under this funding mechanism.

By law, all funds for multiyear awards must be set aside from the CPRIT budget of the first year in which the award is made. This may require that funds be committed for only 3 years, with 2 additional years of support contingent on adequate progress (noncompetitive evaluation). Some highly ambitious programs might start with more circumscribed goals with the expectation that additional funds could be awarded after benefits have been demonstrated.

A major criterion for successful applications will be the level of expertise of the collaborative team that has been assembled. CPRIT encourages the creation of teams composed of researchers from Texas who have stellar reputations in their given areas of expertise (Texas "dream teams"). If necessary, applicants must eschew institutional and regional considerations to assemble the best qualified of the State's investigators on a given subject into a superb collaborative team. Competing applications in a single area may fragment and dilute the best talent available. While CPRIT recognizes the value of competition, assembly of researchers with the best expertise for large-scale programs is encouraged to facilitate the highest level of cancer research throughout the State.

7. RESPONDING TO THIS RFA

7.1. New Applications

All applicants wishing to submit a new application must submit a preapplication in response to this RFA. Preapplications will be reviewed and evaluated by CPRIT (see Section 11.2). Only applicants whose preapplications have been approved by CPRIT may submit an application.

7.2. Resubmissions

A preapplication is not required for resubmission of an application; however, applicants wishing to resubmit a previously unfunded application are required to submit a letter of intent.

8. RESUBMISSION

A Multi-Investigator Research Award application previously submitted to CPRIT but not funded may be resubmitted after careful consideration of the reasons for lack of prior success. Applications, including individual research projects and core resources, that received overall numerical scores of 5 or higher are likely to need considerable attention. All resubmissions should be carefully reconstructed; a simple revision with editorial or technical changes is not sufficient, and applicants are advised not to direct reviewers to such modest changes. Applicants preparing a resubmission may outline the approach to the resubmission as stated in Sections

15.7, 16.4, and 17.4. *All resubmission applications must conform to the structure and guidelines outlined in this RFA.*

9. KEY DATES

RFA

RFA release July 26, 2010

Preapplication (all new submissions)

Online preapplication opens August 2, 2010, 7 a.m. Central Time
Preapplications due September 7, 2010, 3 p.m. Central Time
Preapplication review Continuous
Preapplication notification No later than September 15, 2010

Letter of Intent (all resubmissions)

Letters of intent due September 7, 2010, 3 p.m. Central Time

Application

Online application opens October 15, 2010, 7 a.m. Central Time
Applications due November 15, 2010, 3 p.m. Central Time
Application review February/March 2011

Award

Award notification March 2011
Anticipated start date May 2011

10. ELIGIBILITY

- The applicant must be a Texas-based entity, including a public or private institution of higher education, academic health institution, university, Government organization, or non-Governmental organization. Companies may participate as collaborators in the programs described here, but the PI may not be a company employee.
- The PI and Co-PIs must have a doctoral degree, including M.D., Ph.D., D.D.S., D.M.D., Dr.P.H., D.O., D.V.M., or equivalent. The PI must reside in Texas during the time the research that is the subject of the grant is conducted.

-
- Collaborations are permitted and encouraged, and collaborators, including Co-PIs, may or may not reside in Texas. However, collaborators who do not reside in Texas are not eligible to receive CPRIT funds. Subcontracting and collaborating organizations may include public, not-for-profit, and for-profit entities. Such entities may be located outside of the State of Texas, but non-Texas-based organizations are not eligible to receive CPRIT funds.
 - An individual should be listed on only one application under this RFA during this funding cycle, regardless of his/her role (note exceptions stated in Section 5.6).
 - A PI may resubmit an application that was previously not funded.
 - CPRIT grants will be awarded by contract to successful applicants. Certain contractual requirements are mandated by Texas State law or by administrative rules. Although applicants need not demonstrate the ability to comply with these contractual requirements at the time the application is submitted, applicants should familiarize themselves with these standards before submitting a grant application. Significant issues addressed by the CPRIT contract are listed in Sections 24 and 25. All statutory provisions and relevant administrative rules can be found at www.cprit.state.tx.us.

11. APPLICATION REVIEW

11.1. Outline

All eligible applications will be reviewed using a three-stage process: (1) Preapplication review, (2) peer review, and (3) programmatic review.

11.2. Preapplication Review

The purpose of the preapplication is to permit CPRIT to discourage applications that will not be competitive, align its peer review committees with the applications to be received, offer suggestions about optimal responses to the RFA, and/or encourage and suggest collaborations to assemble the best qualified researchers from Texas for such large-scale projects (see Section 4). Preapplications will be evaluated by the CPRIT Scientific Review Council, and applicants will be invited to submit a complete application if the proposed program meets the guidelines outlined in Section 4. Preapplications may be submitted from August 2 through September 7, 2010. Applicants are urged to submit their preapplications as soon as possible; CPRIT will respond to preapplications as quickly as possible and may not wait for the September 15 preapplication notification date. Deviations from guidance regarding commitment of time and effort and/or participation in more than one application must be noted and defended in the

preapplication. The same issues should be addressed in letters of intent for those investigators submitting revised applications.

Following preapplication review, all applications will be evaluated by an independent scientific review committee using the criteria listed in the remainder of this section. Applications judged to be most meritorious by review panels will be evaluated and recommended for funding by the CPRIT Scientific Review Council based on comparisons with applications from all of the review committees and programmatic priorities. Each stage of application review is conducted confidentially, and all Council and committee members are required to sign nondisclosure statements regarding the contents of the applications. All Council and committee members will be non-Texas residents and operate under strict conflict of interest prohibitions. Under no circumstances should institutional personnel and/or individual applicants initiate contact with any member involved in the peer review process (with the exception of members of the CPRIT Scientific Review Office) regarding the status or substance of the application. Violations of this prohibition will result in the administrative withdrawal of the application.

11.3. Application Review

The overall Multi-Investigator Research Award application will receive a composite score that reflects the review committee's evaluation of the entire proposed research program's potential to make a significant contribution to cancer research. This composite score is based on:

- i. The scientific impact and merit of the individual research projects.
- ii. The necessity and sufficiency of the core resources in supporting the research projects.
- iii. The synergy between the research projects, and also between the research projects and core resources.
- iv. The leadership and the organizational structure of the research program.

11.4. Review of Research Projects

Review of individual research projects will be based on primary scored criteria and secondary unscored criteria, listed below. Review committees will evaluate and score each primary criterion and subsequently assign a global score that is an overall assessment of the project. The global score will not be an average of the scores of individual criteria; rather, it reflects the reviewers' overall impressions of the research project.

11.4.1. Primary Review Criteria

The primary criteria used to evaluate the research projects will assess the scientific merit of the proposed work contained in the research project. Concerns regarding any of these criteria potentially indicate a major flaw in the significance and/or design of the proposed study. The primary review criteria are:

Significance and Impact: What is the innovative potential of the project? Does the project propose new paradigms or challenge existing ones? Does the project develop state-of-the-art technologies, methods, tools, or resources for cancer research or address important under- or unexplored areas? If successful, will it lead to truly substantial advances in the field rather than add modest increments of insight? Investigators and biomedical personnel must want and need to know the results of CPRIT-funded research because such knowledge will change the ways in which they conduct their own research or approach and care for their patients. Projects that modestly extend current lines of research will not be considered for this award.

Research Plan: Is the proposed work presented as a self-contained research project? Does the proposed research have a clearly defined hypothesis or goal that is supported by sufficient preliminary data and/or scientific rationale? Are the methods appropriate, and are potential experimental obstacles and unexpected results discussed? Does the proposed project provide strong synergistic activities as part of a multidisciplinary collaboration? See Section 5.1.

Project Leader: Does the project leader demonstrate the required creativity, expertise, experience, and accomplishments to achieve the goals of the research project? Has the project leader devoted a sufficient amount of his or her time (percent effort) to this project?

Synergy and Collaborative Teams: Does the proposed project provide strong synergistic activities as part of a multidisciplinary collaboration? That is, is the value of this program significantly greater than the sum of its parts? If core facilities are described, are they necessary and sufficient to support the project in achieving the overall goals proposed? Has the project assembled the best qualified collaborative and multidisciplinary teams to achieve the proposed goals? Are the levels of effort of the key personnel appropriate as outlined in Section 5.6?

Relevance: Does the proposed research have a high degree of relevance to cancer research? This will be an important criterion for evaluation of projects for CPRIT support.

11.4.2. Secondary Review Criteria

Secondary criteria contribute to the global score assigned to the research project. Concerns regarding these criteria potentially question the feasibility of the proposed research. The secondary review criteria are:

Research Environment: Does the research team have the needed expertise, facilities, and resources to accomplish all aspects of the proposed research project? Is there evidence of institutional support for the research team and the project?

Vertebrate Animals and/or Human Subjects: If vertebrate animals and/or human subjects are included in the proposed research, have the appropriate guidelines relating to participation risk, inclusion, and protection been addressed?

Budget and Duration of Support: Is the budget and duration of support requested appropriate for the proposed work?

11.5. Review of Core Resources

Review of individual core resources will be based on two scored criteria, listed below. Review committees will evaluate and score each criterion and subsequently assign a global score that is an overall assessment of the core. The global score will not be an average of the scores of individual criteria; rather, it reflects the reviewers' overall impressions of the core resource. The appropriateness of the budget requested for each core will also contribute to the overall impression of the core resource.

11.5.1. Core Resources

Sufficiency and Capability: Is the proposed core resource necessary? Is it sufficiently capable of supporting the research project(s) of the application? Does it provide strong synergistic activities as part of a multidisciplinary collaboration? Does it have the needed facilities and resources to support the proposed research project(s) in accomplishing the proposed goals?

Core Leader: Does the core leader demonstrate the required expertise and experience to direct the core resource in supporting the research project(s)? Has the core leader devoted a sufficient amount of his or her time (percent effort) to this resource?

11.5.2. Administrative Core

Administrative Plan: Is the proposed organizational and management structure capable of comprehensively overseeing and coordinating all aspects and activities of the proposed research program?

Core Leader: Does the core leader demonstrate the required expertise and experience to direct the core resource in supporting the research project(s)? Has the core leader devoted a sufficient amount of his or her time (percent effort) to this resource?

12. SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

12.1. Online Application Receipt System

All applicants must start and submit a preapplication and/or an application via the CPRIT Application Receipt System (<https://CPRITGrants.org>).

12.2. Submission

Applicants are advised to follow all instructions to ensure accurate and complete submission of all components of the preapplication, letter of intent, and application. Submissions that are missing one or more of these components or do not meet the eligibility requirements listed above will be administratively withdrawn without review.

13. PREAPPLICATION

13.1. Submission

Preapplications will be accepted beginning at 7 a.m. Central Time on August 2, 2010. A preapplication is required of those investigators submitting a new application.

13.2. Submission Deadline

Preapplications must be submitted by 3 p.m. Central Time on September 7, 2010.

13.3. Components

Applicants will be required to submit the following information:

- Application title.
- Period of performance.
- Name, title, and institutional affiliation of the PI.
- Name, title, and institutional affiliation of each Co-PI.
- Biographical sketches of the PI and Co-PIs.
- Listing of research projects and core resources.
- Lead investigator, i.e., Co-PI, of each project and core; the PI must lead the Administrative Core.

-
- Specific aims of the overall research program, as well as specific aims of each project and core (2 pages).
 - Major goals of the proposed work (2 pages).
 - Tabular summary of approximate budget to be requested for each project and core for each year for the entire period of performance of the overall research program (1 page).
 - Tabular summary of percent effort to be dedicated to this program for all key personnel (1 page); deviations from guidelines must be explained and justified.

14. LETTER OF INTENT

14.1. Submission

Letters of intent will be accepted beginning July 26, 2010. A letter of intent is required of those investigators preparing a resubmission. Letters of intent must be submitted via e-mail to the CPRIT Research HelpDesk (see Section 26.1). Letters of intent must be submitted in Portable Document Format (PDF), and must not exceed 1 page. The letter of intent must justify and request permission for deviations from guidelines for commitment of time and effort and/or participation in more than one application (see above).

14.2. Submission Deadline

Letters of intent must be submitted by 3 p.m. Central Time on September 7, 2010.

15. APPLICATION

15.1. Submission

Applications will be accepted beginning at 7 a.m. Central Time on October 15, 2010. Only applicants with preapplications approved by the CPRIT Scientific Review Office or those preparing resubmissions are eligible to submit an application.

15.2. Submission Deadline

Applications must be submitted by 3 p.m. Central Time on November 15, 2010.

15.3. Abstract and Significance (5,000 characters)

Clearly explain the question or problem to be addressed by the proposed research program and the approach to its answer or solution. Address how the proposed research, if successful, will have a major impact on the field of cancer research or on the care of patients with cancer. Summarize how the proposed research creates new paradigms or challenges existing ones. State

the synergistic value that the individual research projects and core resources present to the goals of the overall application.

15.4. Laypersons' Summary (2,000 characters)

Provide a laypersons' summary of the proposed program. Describe, in clear, nontechnical terms the overall goals of the work, the type of cancer addressed, the potential significance of the results, and the impact of the work on advancing the field of cancer research. The information provided in this summary will be made publicly available by CPRIT, particularly if the application is recommended for funding. Applicants are advised not to include information that they consider confidential or proprietary when preparing this section.

15.5. Specific Aims and Timeline (1 page)

Outline the specific aims of the overall research program. Indicate an approximate timeline for accomplishment of these aims. If the application is approved for funding, this section will be included in the award contract. Applicants are advised not to include information that they consider confidential or proprietary when preparing this section.

15.6. Overview of Research Program (5 pages)

Background: Present the rationale behind the proposed research program, emphasizing the pressing problem in cancer research that will be addressed.

Research Strategy: Describe the objectives of the research program, and briefly summarize each component project and core resource.

Synergy: Describe how individual component projects provide synergistic value to the research program.

15.7. Resubmission

Applicants preparing a resubmission may use up to 1 page of the 5-page Overview to outline the approach to the resubmission. Changes to individual projects and cores should be outlined in the description of the project/core, as stated in sections 16.4 and 17.4, respectively. Also, see Section 8. *All resubmission applications must conform to the structure and guidelines outlined in this RFA.*

15.8. Publications/References

Provide a concise and relevant list of publications/references cited for the overall research program.

15.9. Budget and Justification

Provide an overall budget and a compelling justification of the budget for the entire proposed period of support for all the projects and core resources, including indirect costs. See Section 20 for additional details and for guidance regarding indirect costs.

15.10. Components: Research Projects and Core Resources

Each project and core must be submitted individually (Sections 16–23), as directed in the CPRIT Application Receipt System. Projects and cores should be labeled numerically, i.e., Project 1 to Project 5, and Core 1 to Core 3. The Administrative Core should be labeled as such and should not be numerically labeled.

16. RESEARCH PROJECTS

The following items (Sections 16.1 through 16.5) and items listed in Sections 19–22 are required for each of the proposed research projects. Section 23 is optional.

16.1. Abstract (2,500 characters)

Clearly explain the question or problem to be addressed and the approach to its answer or solution. Address how the proposed project, if successful, will have a major impact on the field of cancer research or on the care of patients with cancer. Summarize how the proposed research creates new paradigms or challenges existing ones. State the synergistic value that the individual research project presents to the goals of the overall application.

16.2. Specific Aims and Timeline (1 page)

Outline the specific aims of the research project. Provide an outline of anticipated major milestones to be tracked. Timelines will be reviewed for reasonableness, and adherence to timelines will be a criterion for continued support of successful applications. If the application is approved for funding, this section will be included in the award contract. Applicants are advised not to include information that they consider confidential or proprietary when preparing this section.

16.3. Research Plan (10 pages)

Background: Present the rationale behind the proposed project, emphasizing the pressing problem in cancer research that will be addressed.

Research Strategy: Describe the experimental design, including methods, anticipated results, potential problems or pitfalls, and alternative approaches. Preliminary data that support the proposed hypothesis are encouraged but not required.

Human Studies: If human subjects or human biological samples will be used, provide a plan for Institutional Review Board approval or exemption and for recruitment of participants or acquisition of samples that will meet the time constraints of this award mechanism.

Synergy: Describe how the project provides synergistic value to the entire research program.

16.4. Resubmission

Applicants preparing a resubmission research project may use up to half a page of the 10-page Research Plan to describe the approach to the resubmission. Also, see Section 8. *All resubmitted research projects must conform to the structure and guidelines outlined in this RFA.*

16.5. Synergy Illustration (1 page)

Provide a diagrammatic representation of synergistic interactions between this project and other research projects and/or core resources of the proposed research program.

17. CORE RESOURCES

The following items (Sections 17.1 through 17.5) and items listed in Sections 19–22 are required for each of the proposed core resources. Section 23 is optional.

17.1. Abstract (2,500 characters)

Summarize the proposed core resource. Clearly state the project(s) that the core will support and the synergistic value it provides to the goals of the research project(s).

17.2. Specific Aims and Timeline (1 page)

Outline the specific aims of the core resource. Indicate the projects that will be supported. Provide an outline of anticipated major milestones to be tracked. Timelines will be reviewed for reasonableness, and adherence to timelines will be a criterion for continued support of successful applications. If the application is approved for funding, this section will be included in the award contract. Applicants are advised not to include information that they consider confidential or proprietary when preparing this section.

17.3. Resource Plan (5 pages)

Background: Present the rationale behind the proposed core resource.

Support Strategy: Describe the experimental design, including methods, anticipated results, potential problems or pitfalls, and alternative approaches. Preliminary data that support the proposed hypothesis are encouraged but not required.

Synergy: Describe how the core resource provides synergistic value to the research program.

17.4. Resubmission

Applicants preparing a resubmission core resource may use up to half a page of the 5-page Resource Plan to describe the approach to the resubmission. Also, see Section 8. *All resubmitted core resources must conform to the structure and guidelines outlined in this RFA.*

17.5. Synergy Illustration (1 page)

Provide a diagrammatic representation of synergistic interactions between this core and other research projects and/or core resources of the proposed research program.

18. ADMINISTRATIVE CORE

In addition to the items listed in sections 18.1 and 18.2, items listed in Sections 20–22 are required for the Administrative Core. Section 19 is not required. Section 23 is optional.

18.1. Administrative Plan (5 pages)

Describe the organizational and management structure that will be established to efficiently, effectively, and comprehensively manage all aspects of the research program. State how the leaders of individual projects and cores, i.e., the PI and the Co-PIs, will communicate and discuss results, report progress, and resolve potential problems throughout the duration of the research program.

18.2. Synergy Illustration (1 page)

Provide a diagrammatic representation of interactions between the Administrative Core and all research projects and core resources of the proposed research program.

19. PUBLICATIONS/REFERENCES

For each research project and core resource, provide a concise and relevant list of publications/references cited. This list is not required for the Administrative Core.

20. BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION

For each research project and core resource (including the Administrative Core), provide a budget and a compelling justification of the budget for the entire proposed period of support,

including salaries and benefits, supplies, equipment, patient care costs, animal care costs, other expenses, and indirect costs. Applicants are advised NOT to interpret the absence of a maximum allowable budget as an indication that the budget can be expanded to any level. Reasonable budgets clearly work in favor of the applicant. Poorly justified requests of this type will likely have a negative impact on the overall evaluation of the project/core and, by extension, on the application.

In preparing the requested budget, applicants should be aware of the following:

- Equipment having a useful life of more than 1 year and an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more per unit must be specifically approved by CPRIT. An applicant does not need to seek this approval prior to submitting the application.
- Texas State law limits the amount of grant funds that may be spent on indirect costs to no more than 5 percent of the total award amount (5.263 percent of the direct costs). Guidance regarding indirect cost recovery can be found in CPRIT's administrative rules, which are available at www.cprit.state.tx.us. So-called Grants Management and Facilities Fees (e.g., sponsored programs fee, grants and contracts fees, electricity, gas and water, custodial fees, maintenance fees, etc.) may not be requested. Applications that include such budgetary items will be rejected administratively and returned without review.
- The annual salary (also referred to as direct salary or institutional base salary) that an individual may receive under a CPRIT award for FY 2011 is \$200,000; CPRIT FY 2011 is from September 1, 2010 through August 31, 2011. Salary does not include fringe benefits and/or facilities and administrative (F&A) costs, also referred to as indirect costs. An individual's institutional base salary is the annual compensation that the applicant organization pays for an individual's appointment, whether that individual's time is spent on research, teaching, patient care, or other activities. Base salary excludes any income that an individual may be permitted to earn outside of his or her duties to the applicant organization.

21. BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES

A biographical sketch is required for the individual leading each project/core, i.e., the PI/Co-PI. Up to two additional biographical sketches for other key personnel on the project/core may be provided. The biographical sketch should describe the individual's education and training,

professional experience, awards and honors, and publications relevant to cancer research. Each biographical sketch must not exceed 2 pages.

22. CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT

Describe the funding source, duration, and title of all current and pending support for all personnel who have included a biographical sketch for each project/core. For each award listed, also provide a two-line summary of the goal of the project and, if relevant, a statement of overlap with the current application.

23. INSTITUTIONAL/COLLABORATOR SUPPORT AND/OR OTHER CERTIFICATION

For each project and core, applicants may provide relevant letters of institutional support, collaborator support, and/or other certification documentation. A maximum of 4 pages may be provided for each research project; a maximum of 2 pages may be provided for each core resource (including the Administrative Core).

24. AWARD ADMINISTRATION

Texas State law requires that CPRIT research awards be made by contract between the applicant and CPRIT. Texas State law specifies several components that must be addressed by the award contract, including needed compliance and assurance documentation, budgetary review, and terms relating to intellectual property rights. These contract provisions are specified in CPRIT's administrative rules, which are available at www.cprit.state.tx.us.

All CPRIT awards will be made to institutions, not to individuals. Applicants who change their institutional affiliation during the time period of the award must submit a written request to CPRIT to transfer the award to the new institution.

CPRIT requires award recipients to submit an annual progress report. These reports summarize the progress made toward the research goals and address plans for the upcoming year. In addition, fiscal reporting, human studies reporting, and vertebrate animal use reporting will be required as appropriate. Continuation of funding is contingent upon receipt of these reports. Forms and instructions will be made available at www.cprit.state.tx.us.

25. REQUIREMENT TO DEMONSTRATE AVAILABLE FUNDS

Texas State law requires the CPRIT award recipient organization to demonstrate that it has an amount of funds equal to one-half of the CPRIT funding dedicated to the research that is the subject of the award. The demonstration of available matching funds must be made at the time the award contract is executed, not when the application is submitted.

26. CONTACT INFORMATION

26.1. HelpDesk

HelpDesk support is available for questions regarding user registration and online submission of applications. Queries submitted via e-mail will be answered within 1 business day. HelpDesk staff are not in a position to answer questions regarding scientific aspects of applications.

Dates of Operation (excluding public holidays):

Preapplication: August 2, 2010 to September 7, 2010

Letter of Intent: July 26, 2010 to September 7, 2010

Application: October 15, 2010 to November 15, 2010

Hours of Operation: Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Central Time

Tel: 866-941-7146

E-mail: ResearchHelp@CPRITGrants.org

26.2. Scientific and Programmatic Questions

Questions regarding the CPRIT program, including questions regarding this or other funding opportunities, should be directed to the CPRIT Scientific Review Office:

Tel: 512-305-8491

E-mail: ResearchHelp@CPRITGrants.org

Web: www.cprit.state.tx.us